NOTES ON BACKWARDS REASONING

Consider proving the identity $\csc \theta - \sin \theta = \cos \theta \cot \theta$. This question is often answered with the right ideas — but incorrectly — in the following manner.

```
\cos \theta - \sin \theta = \cos \theta \cot \theta
so \frac{1}{\sin \theta} - \sin \theta = \cos \theta \frac{\cos \theta}{\sin \theta}
so 1 - \sin^2 \theta = \cos^2 \theta \quad \text{(multiplying by } \sin \theta\text{)}
so 1 = \sin^2 \theta + \cos^2 \theta,
and the final identity always holds.
```

What is wrong here? Well, we have proved that $if \csc \theta - \sin \theta = \cos \theta \cot \theta$ then $1 = \sin^2 \theta + \cos^2 \theta$. This is the wrong way around! We know that $1 = \sin^2 \theta + \cos^2 \theta$ and want to prove that $\csc \theta - \sin \theta = \cos \theta \cot \theta$. Slack logic like this can cause serious problems, such as the following 'proof' that -2 = 2.

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
-2 & = & 2 \\
\text{so } & (-2)^2 & = & 2^2 \\
\text{so } & 4 & = & 4, \\
which is true. & & & \\
\end{array}$$
 (squaring both sides)

Clearly such 'proofs' need to be avoided. The good news is that our original attempt can be made into a valid proof quite easily by turning the argument upside-down. That is, our identity can be proved by the following.

```
1 = \sin^2 \theta + \cos^2 \theta
so 1 - \sin^2 \theta = \cos^2 \theta
so \frac{1}{\sin \theta} - \sin \theta = \cos \theta \frac{\cos \theta}{\sin \theta} (dividing by \sin \theta)
so \csc \theta - \sin \theta = \cos \theta \cot \theta,
so the claimed identity holds.
```

But arguably the best method is to take the left-hand side of the identity and manipulate it until it is the same as the right-hand side (using the identity $1 = \sin^2 \theta + \cos^2 \theta$ along the way), as shown.

1